

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | NO. FILING DATE |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/646,310  | 08/22/2003      |            | David A. Kovalsky      | 67,008-073          | 9196             |  |
| 26096   | 7590            | 06/20/2006 | •                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.<br>400 WEST MAPLE ROAD |                 |            | VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M |                     |                  |  |
| SUITE 350   | MAPLE R         | OAD        |                        | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009                                |                 |            | 3745                   |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action |     |        |       |     |      |       |  |
|-----------------|-----|--------|-------|-----|------|-------|--|
| Before          | the | Filing | of an | App | oeal | Brief |  |

| Application No.     | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/646,310          | KOVALSKY ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner            | Art Unit        |  |  |
| Christopher Verdier | 3745            |  |  |

| Advisory Action   | 10/040,310  | KOVALSKY ET AL.  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·     |
|   | Christopher Verdier   | 3745   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence addi  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   |   | -  |   |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>fidavit, or other evidence<br>compliance with 37 CF | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this</li> </ul>  | <del>-</del>  | in the final rejection, whi  | ahayaria latar In                         |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or<br>TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>706.07(f).   | g date of the final rejection<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS FI                        | on.<br>ILED WITHIN                        |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>er than three months after the mailing da                             | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Office                  | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.  | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |   |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |  |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed the second to place the application in beautiful or they are not deemed to place the application in beautiful or the proposed to the application.   | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);   | TE below);   |   |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |   | . , , ,  | ne issues ioi                             |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))   |   | ected Claims.  |   |
| 1. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  |   | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   |   | ,  |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | •   | ·  | · ·                                       |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | Il be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 22-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |   |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | nd sufficient reasons why the affidat   | vit or other evidence is   | necessary and                             |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  | overcome all rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fail   | ls to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other explanation.   | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach  | ed.                                       |
| <ul> <li>11.</li></ul>  | ut does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowan  | ice because:                              |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)  |   |
| 13.   ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.  |   | No(s) (  Christopher Verdier   | 7   |
|   |   | Christopher Verdier<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3745                    |   |

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment to claim 1 will not change the grounds of rejection and the claims will be subject to the same grounds of rejection upon appeal.